## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/809,601 CITRYNELL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3744 Chen-Wen Jiang All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Chen-Wen Jiang. (2) Darin Gibby. (4) Date of Interview: 24 August 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 6,14 and 15. Identification of prior art discussed: 6,415,624. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that '624 does not teach first thread is made of glass and second thread is made of hard plastic. Applicant will include the limitation of continous smooth interface and single twist in the claim 6 and incorporate the limitation of claim 14 into 15 and cancel claim 14. Examiner will consider the proposed amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required